S.B. 100: SUPPORT
Prohibit installing tracking devices or apps without consent

Sponsors: Minority Leader Nickie J. Antonio (D- Lakewood) & Sen. Nathan H. Manning (R-North Ridgeville)

Committee Status

Current Law

Ohio’s menacing by stalking statute requires a pattern of conduct where two or more incidents have occurred in order to support a criminal charge. There is no current statute that makes placing a tracking device or application on another person or their property without their knowledge or consent a crime.

If passed, this legislation would:

- Establish a new statute that prohibits a person from knowingly installing a tracking device without consent.
- Charge violators with a first-degree misdemeanor that carries a maximum sentence of 180 days in jail and a maximum fine of $1,000.
- Charge violators with a fourth-degree felony in certain circumstances, including a prior conviction for this offense or the offender being subject to a protection order.
- Add Ohio to the list of at least 26 states and the District of Columbia that have addressed stalking via tracking devices.

Why is S.B. 100 Important?

In a February 2024 survey of 40 ODVN member program advocates:

95% of respondents stated that they have encountered at least one case where the victim suspected that location-tracking technology was being used to stalk them.

92% of advocates stated that they had confirmed cases where location-tracking technology was being used by the perpetrator to stalk or monitor the victim or their family/friends.

60% of respondents had cases where the evidence was used in court proceedings.

82% of respondents had cases where a tracking device was found in a vehicle owned by the victim.

24% of respondents had cases where a device was found in a vehicle owned by someone other than the victim.

71% of respondents had cases where a device was found as an application on the victim’s mobile phone.

31% of respondents had cases where a device was found as an application on the victim’s laptop or a similar item.

21% of respondents had cases where a device was found in the victim’s children’s belongings, such as diaper bags or their children’s toys.

16% of respondents had cases where a device was found in the victim’s personal item, such as a purse or backpack.

8% of respondents had cases where a device was found hidden in the victim’s clothing.

ODVN’s policy work is funded by the Longaberger Family Foundation and other private funding sources.
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ADVOCATES REPORT:
Four out of five advocates have worked with survivors who found tracking devices in their cars, according to a survey of advocates at ODVN member programs conducted in February 2024.

“...When working with victims, we have seen a trend in magnetic tracking devices. I do not believe that police and advocates have the knowledge of locating these devices and if so, what can be done. In my two most recent cases, it has been a passerby who had seen that there was a tracker put on their car and told the survivor.”

“When a survivor of domestic violence leaves the violent relationship, it can become the most dangerous time for the survivor. Tracking systems greatly increase the risk of fatality during this time period. Offenders have used these systems to locate victims at the shelter. Unfortunately, there is nothing legally law enforcement can do, however, the device is used to stalk the victim.”

One victim I worked with found an AirTag in her car through a notification on her phone and it became part of her argument in court for her CPO. The police made the abuser come to her home while they were there to remove the AirTag because she and the officers were unable to locate it.”

Abuser programmed a “Ford MyKey” to a victim’s vehicle. This key tracks your speed, distance, fuel. It was really creepy. Deputy explained the air tags are Bluetooth, so victim’s abuser could have been using a GPS tracker that would not show up on a cell phone. Law enforcement and victim heard a device beeping; however, it could have died or been removed before deputies got in to look. They took apart the whole dash and still could not find it. The actual dealer months later discovered that the ignition wiring was spliced. Their assumption was that the abuser hardwired a GPS tracker into the electrical wiring.”

It is important to know that Deaf, Deafdisabled, Deafblind are at risk 2-3 times more. A lot of times when we talk to them, often they are not aware what it means to be stalked or aware of items that can be used to stalk them. Some victims think it is normal (example one victim thought it was normal for her husband to monitor her in the house while he was working for “protection” because she is “Deaf”. In those case when perp use items to stalk victim, the behavior increases and becomes dangerous.”

Survivors who suspect stalking/location tracking can sometimes present as mentally unstable. Abusers are intentional about making survivors look unwell so that law enforcement and others don’t believe survivors when they disclose abuse.”

“People who misuse technology to victimize others face minimal consequences even in cases where a protection order forbids it as there is a burden of proof on the victim to show that a fake username, a google phone number, or a device found in their car to track them is really tied to their abuser. The more steps we can take to place protections and safeguards on the use of technology, the better we will be prepared to keep victims safe from abuse.”

ODVN's policy work is funded by the Longaberger Family Foundation and other private funding sources.