

## Process Evaluation Plan Worksheet for Activity:

WHAT		HOW
Process Evaluation Areas		Process Evaluation Methods & Tools
1	<ul> <li>Implementation Description*</li> <li>List of activities (name, date, location/setting, etc.)</li> <li>Number of activities (# of school programs presented, training workshops held, events hosted, fact sheets disseminated, billboards, etc.)</li> </ul>	□ Program records, internal tracking and monitoring
2	<ul> <li>Reach Description*</li> <li>Number of participants (unduplicated count)</li> <li>Participant characteristics (age, gender, sector, etc.)</li> <li>Dose (number of sessions or contacts)</li> </ul>	Number of participants:  ☐ Roster or tally provided by hosting organization (e.g., class size reported by a teacher) ☐ Sign-in sheet, head count, clicker, or other tally/count done by us ☐ Implementation tracking form or follow-up tool given to external partners (e.g., phone or email follow-up, or brief online survey, to track actual implementation of a program after a training workshop)  Participant characteristics: ☐ Demographic questions on a survey ☐ Hosting organization's records  Dose: ☐ Program records, internal tracking and monitoring ☐ Survey question (e.g., "How many sessions did you attend?")
3	■ Fidelity Assessment (for EBPs) ■ Components of the model and extent to which they were implemented locally (e.g., dose, facilitator training/certification, population, setting, content, modality, etc.)	□ Systematic fidelity checklist or assessment developed by EBP organization □ Systematic fidelity checklist or assessment developed by us □ Informal debriefing with staff and/or hosting organization, internal monitoring
4	<ul> <li>"Implemented-as-Intended?" Assessment</li> <li>Did the actual implementation match our planned inputs, activities, and outputs?</li> <li>If not, what modifications were made and why</li> </ul>	☐ Debriefing with staff, CCR, other stakeholders ☐ Focus group interview(s) ☐ Key-informant interviews

Ohio Empowerment Evaluation Toolkit, 2011

	WHAT	HOW
5	□ Satisfaction	☐ Survey question(s)
	<ul><li>Did participants like the activity?</li><li>Did other stakeholders like the activity?</li></ul>	☐ Focus group interview(s)
		☐ Key-informant interviews
L		
6	<ul><li>Appropriateness</li><li>Goodness of fit with local setting and population</li></ul>	☐ Debriefing with staff, CCR, other stakeholders
	Cultural competence, linguistic competence, cultural inclusiveness, and cultural appropriateness	☐ Focus group interview(s)
	Organizational capacity	☐ Key-informant interviews
		☐ Survey question(s)
7	☐ Barriers to Implementation	☐ Debriefing with staff, CCR, other stakeholders
	<ul> <li>Challenges to implementation</li> <li>Modifications we made to address challenges</li> <li>How can we prevent or overcome these barriers in the future?</li> </ul>	☐ Focus group interview(s)
	Thow can we prevent of evercome these partiers in the luture:	☐ Key-informant interviews
		☐ Survey question(s)
8	<ul> <li>Outcome Evaluation Results Interpretation</li> <li>Explore reasons for meeting or not meeting desired outcomes</li> </ul>	☐ Debriefing with staff, CCR, other stakeholders
	Provide context or explanation for puzzling findings	☐ Focus group interview(s)
		☐ Key-informant interviews
9	<ul> <li>Suggestions for Improvement</li> <li>Participant suggestions for improvement</li> </ul>	☐ Debriefing with staff, CCR, other stakeholders
	<ul> <li>Staff or other stakeholder suggestions for improvement</li> <li>As a result of the process and outcome evaluation, what steps do</li> </ul>	☐ Focus group interview(s)
	we need to take to improve the program?	☐ Key-informant interviews
		□ Survey question(s)

Survey question(s)

\*Describing implementation and reach are necessary components of any process evaluation. The other components are optional, depending on the newness and scope of the activity, or other factors.