

## Process Evaluation Plan Worksheet for Activity: Example- $\underline{Triple\ P}$ (Strategy #2; we provided the training and materials and partner organizations are implementing this evidence-based parenting program)

WHAT		HOW
Process Evaluation Areas		Process Evaluation Methods & Tools
1	<ul> <li>✓ Implementation Description*</li> <li>List of activities (name, date, location/setting, etc.)</li> <li>Number of activities (# of school programs presented, training workshops held, events hosted, fact sheets disseminated, billboards, etc.)</li> </ul>	☑ Program records, internal tracking and monitoring Lew will document dates and locations of training workshops
2	<ul> <li>✓ Reach Description*</li> <li>Number of participants (unduplicated count)</li> <li>Participant characteristics (age, gender, sector, etc.)</li> <li>Dose (number of sessions or contacts)</li> <li>Must track number of training workshop participants (facilitators) and number of families receiving Triple P programming</li> </ul>	Number of participants:  Roster or tally provided by hosting organization (e.g., class size reported by a teacher)  Sign-in sheet, head count, clicker, or other tally/count done by us Sign-in sheet for training workshop  Implementation tracking form or follow-up tool given to external partners (e.g., phone or email follow-up, or brief online survey, to track actual implementation of a program after a training workshop)  Triple P Semi-Annual Implementation Survey via Survey Monkey in January and July 2010  Participant characteristics:  Demographic questions on a survey use Training Workshop post survey for trainees, and Survey Monkey implementation survey for demographics of families  Hosting organization's records  Dose:  Program records, internal tracking and monitoring for training workshops  Survey question (e.g., "How many sessions did you attend?") track number of sessions per family on Survey Monkey implementation survey
3	<ul> <li>✓ Fidelity Assessment (for EBPs)</li> <li>Components of the model and extent to which they were implemented locally (e.g., dose, facilitator training/certification, population, setting, content, modality, etc.)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Systematic fidelity checklist or assessment developed by EBP organization</li> <li>☐ Systematic fidelity checklist or assessment developed by us</li> <li>☐ Informal debriefing with staff and/or hosting organization, internal monitoring discuss at February facilitators' meeting and consult with Triple P trainer</li> </ul>
4	<ul> <li>"Implemented-as-Intended?" Assessment</li> <li>Did the actual implementation match our planned inputs, activities, and outputs?</li> <li>If not, what modifications were made and why?</li> </ul>	☐ Debriefing with staff, CCR, other stakeholders discuss at February and August facilitators' meetings, and in Planning Committee meetings ☐ Focus group interview(s) ☐ Key-informant interviews

5	<ul> <li>✓ Satisfaction</li> <li>■ Did participants like the activity?</li> <li>■ Did other stakeholders like the activity?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Survey question(s) facilitator satisfaction with training on post-training survey</li> <li>☐ Focus group interview(s)</li> <li>☐ Key-informant interviews</li> </ul>
6	<ul> <li>☑Appropriateness</li> <li>Goodness of fit with local setting and population</li> <li>Cultural competence, linguistic competence, cultural inclusiveness, and cultural appropriateness</li> <li>Organizational capacity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☑ Debriefing with staff, CCR, other stakeholders facilitators' meetings</li> <li>☑ Focus group(s)</li> <li>☑ Key-informant interviews</li> <li>☑ Survey question(s) Survey Monkey implementation survey asks about fit with population and setting</li> </ul>
7	■ Challenges to implementation ■ Challenges to implementation ■ Modifications we made to deal with challenges ■ How can we prevent or overcome these barriers in the future?	<ul> <li>☑ Debriefing with staff, CCR, other stakeholders facilitators' meetings</li> <li>☑ Focus group interview(s)</li> <li>☑ Key-informant interviews</li> <li>☑ Survey question(s) Survey Monkey implementation survey asks about barriers</li> </ul>
8	■ Outcome Evaluation Results Interpretation ■ Explore reasons for meeting or not meeting desired outcomes ■ Provide context or explanation for puzzling findings  Not Yet—need to wait until August 2010 for Parenting  Experience Survey results	<ul> <li>□ Debriefing with staff, CCR, other stakeholders</li> <li>□ Focus group interview(s)</li> <li>□ Key-informant interviews</li> </ul>
9	<ul> <li>Suggestions for Improvement</li> <li>Participant suggestions for improvement</li> <li>Staff or other stakeholder suggestions for improvement</li> <li>As a result of the process and outcome evaluation, what steps do we need to take to improve the program?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☑ Debriefing with staff, CCR, other stakeholders facilitators' meetings</li> <li>☐ Focus group interview(s)</li> <li>☐ Key-informant interviews</li> <li>☐ Survey question(s)</li> </ul>

<sup>\*</sup>Describing implementation and reach are necessary components of any process evaluation. The other components are optional, depending on the newness and scope of the activity, or other factors.